FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NICOLA T. HANNA 1 United States Attorney February 6, 2019 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON 2 Assistant United States Attorney CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 Chief, Criminal Division VPCDEPUTY RUTH C. PINKEL (Cal. Bar No. 164470) 4 Assistant United States Attorney Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section 1500 United States Courthouse 5 312 North Spring Street 6 Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-6077 7 Facsimile: (213) 894-7631 E-mail: ruth.pinkel@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 18-839-SJO [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL 13 Plaintiff, DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING 14 EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT v. TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 15 JAMES R. MCDANIEL, [PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: August 6, 16 Defendant. 2019 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on February 5, 2019. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and

defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from February 12, 2019 to August 6, 2019 @ 9:00 a.m.
- 2. The time period of February 12, 2019 to August 6, 2019, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv). Under the Speedy Trial Act, the last day for trial to commence would be August 20, 2019.
- 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of //

## Case 2:18-cr-00839-SJO Document 18 Filed 02/06/19 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:66

1	the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
2	additional time periods from the period within which trial must
3	commence.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	5. Jame Oten
6	
7	DATE HONORABLE S. JAMES OTERO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	CC: PRETRIAL SERVICE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	